IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5175 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

@

CHHOTUBHAI NARANBHAI PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 5175 of 2001
MR ISHVERLAL J NAIK for Petitioner No. 1
RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 1-2
MR MB GANDHI for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 18/04/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate for the petitioner states that the petitioner wants to make a representation to the GIDC and would point out in detail the factual aspects of the

dispute. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw this petition in order to file a representation. Permission is granted. If any such representation is made, the same may be disposed of as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the same. Such representation is to be made on or before 01.5.2002. While deciding the representation, GIDC may also consider its policy as well as the fact whether the application of the petitioner was within the time and if it was not within the time, then whether the benefit is required to be given to him or not. It is clarified that, if the decision of the authority is against the petitioner, it will be open for the petitioner to challenge the same in accordance with law. With this direction, the petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

(P.B.Majmudar,J)

(pathan)